



Security Standards for Maritime Public Transportation



Presentation for CERF 06 May 2002

Charles F. Barker, P.E., ARM 703-548-4400

GEORGE G. SHARP, INC.



Purpose

- I've recently had the opportunity to work with performance based safety and security standards, and have some observations and recommendations based on this experience
- As we move forward in this new era of heightened security, it is important to establish both prescriptive and performance based standards





Review: Prescriptive Standards

- Based on Scientific Analysis and Operational History
- Easy to understand and apply <u>Negatives</u>
- Compliance Culture
- Differing levels of Risk
- Restrict Innovation





Review: Performance Standards

- Based on qualitative goals
- "acceptable" and "reasonable" are used
- Innovation and Cost Benefits
- Requires a greater understanding of underlying processes at work
- Different Role for the Design Engineer





Role of the Design Engineer

Prescriptive Security Standards:

- Identify system requirements
- Identify applicable standards
- Ensure that system meets or exceeds the minimum requirements





Role of the Design Engineer

Performance Security Standards:

- Define Project Scope/ Boundaries
- Identify Goals
- Define Objectives
- Develop Performance Criteria
- Develop and Evaluate Design
- Document





Staten Island Ferries



4400 Passengers, busiest US passenger run, 25% increase post 9/11
18 minute run, terminals on each end with transportation links
Busiest US Harbor
2 Major Terror Attacks in Lower Manhattan in the Last 10 Years





46 CFR Subchapter W

- 2003 fully effective
- Result of ESTONIA (900) & HERALD OF FREE ENTERPRISE (200)
- Major Lifesaving Equipment Upgrade for Large Passenger Vessels
- Option for Risk Assessment in lieu of lifesaving equipment upgrades
- Opportunity to economize





46 CFR Subchapter W

PERFORMANCE BASED REGULATORY ALTERNATIVE

- Risk Assessment
- The Risk Assessment alternative must address:
- ullet
- The navigation and vessel safety conditions within the vessel's planned operating area, including:
- (i) The scope and degree of risks or hazards
- (ii) The existing vessel traffic characteristics and trends
- (iii) The port and waterway configuration
- (iv) Environmental factors.





46 CFR Subchapter W

- A comprehensive shipboard safety management and contingency plan

 catastrophic vessel damage.
 Procedures to mobilize emergency response teams.
 Procedures for moving passengers
 Lists of external organizations
 Procedures for establishing and maintaining communications
- (vi) Guidance on theoretical, practical, and actual simulation training





Design Engineer

PRESCRIPTIVE REQUIREMENTS

- Find approved manufacturer of equipment
- Purchase required equipment
- Identify Stowage Locations
- Install equipment







Design Engineer

PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS

- Define Goals
- Define System
 vessel & port
- Define Approach

 structured approach to large problem
- Carry Out Plan





Risk Assessment

- For Performance Based Risk Assessment, a framework is needed to approach the problem in a rationale, repeatable way.
- Transparency & Documentation important since non-prescriptive decisions will likely be revisited during the life of the system





Assessment Framework

- 1. Hazard Identification. databases, interviews, site visits
- 1.1. Define System.
- 1.2. Identify events.
- 2. Risk Assessment.
- 2.1. Identify causes.
- 2.2. Frequency/ Likelihood Analysis qualitative
- 2.3. Consequence Analysis.
- 3. Risk Control Options. (web of safety)
- 3.1. Identify options for reducing likelihood or consequences.
- 4. Cost Benefit Analysis.
- 5. Recommendations.





Casualty Sequence







Risk Control

| | Susceptibility | Vulnerability | Recoverability |
|-------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Personnel | | | |
| Engineering | | | |
| Procedures | | | |





Terrorism

| | Susceptibility | Vulnerability | Recoverability |
|-------------|--|--|------------------------------|
| Personnel | Security Personnel | | Emergency Response Drills |
| Engineering | Increase visibility in passenger spaces | Egress routes to safe areas – low fire loading | |
| Procedures | Inspect packages, vehicles | | |

(Method Illustration Only)





Staten Island Ferry

- Performed Risk Assessment in lieu of prescriptive requirements
- More work than prescriptive design
- Result is coordinated training/ exercise/ design package that addresses the most likely scenarios, determined by historical record and expert determination





Three Levels of Readiness

• Level I –threat of an unlawful act is, though possible, not likely.

• Level II –threat of an unlawful act is possible and intelligence indicates that terrorists are likely to be active

• Level III - threat of an unlawful act against a vessel or terminal is probable or imminent and intelligence indicates that terrorists have chosen specific targets.





Specific Security Measures

- Restricted Areas
- Security Guards
- Vehicle Inspection
- Perimeter Security
- Fences
- Lighting





Specific Security Measures

- 7. Alarms
- 8. Video Surveillance
- 9. Communications System
- **10. Passenger Communications**
- **11. Escape Brow**
- **12. Personnel Training**





Importance to Transportation Security

- Common Approach, with Design Flexibility
- Adaptable to local conditions
- Better tool for determining equivalency in security and safety and survivability functions when funding limits require hard choices





Issues to Address

- Standardization of approach
- Documentation Lifetime Management
- Determining the adequacy of the result – is there truly an equivalent level of security?





Role of the Design Engineer What does it mean for engineers?

- Prescriptive standards Design from my office
- Performance Standards First principles, stakeholder interaction, "Pound the Pavement"
- Incorporation of training, operating procedures, and design in the performance-based security solution